A Computing System for Measuring the Relationship of Smell and Pain

This paper was downloaded from TechRxiv (<https://www.techrxiv.org>).

LICENSE

CC BY 4.0

SUBMISSION DATE / POSTED DATE

24-02-2022 / 03-03-2022

CITATION

Cheek, Adrian David; Karunanayaka, Kasun; Anderson, Lars Louis (2022): A Computing System for Measuring the Relationship of Smell and Pain. TechRxiv. Preprint.
<https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.19228488.v1>

DOI

[10.36227/techrxiv.19228488.v1](https://doi.org/10.36227/techrxiv.19228488.v1)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

A Computing System for Measuring the Relationship of Smell and Pain

Kasun Karunanayaka, *Member, IEEE*, Adrian David Cheok, *Member, IEEE*, and Lars Louis Andersen

Smell is popularly believed to influence pain, even though several of these claims currently lack significant scientific or engineering support. This paper proposes a computing system for conducting smell vs pain experiments using a laboratory built olfactometer together with cold pressor test (CPT), and pressure pain threshold (PPT) measurements. We have conducted CPT and PPT experiments for three different types of smells; pleasant, sweet, and unpleasant. Pain threshold and pain tolerance of the participants were recorded and analyzed. Results showed that inhalation of sweet and pleasant smells during CPT increased tolerance for pain for all groups ($p < 0.01$). Likewise, inhalation of sweet and pleasant smells increased pain threshold during PPT in all groups where $F(3, 28) = 94.517$, $p = 0.002$ for sweet smell, $F(3, 28) = 51.362$, and $p = 0.004$ for pleasant smell. Further, we observed $F(3, 28) = 16.430$, $p = 0.020$ for the unpleasant smell). These results concluded that sweet and pleasant smells can increase the pain tolerance while unpleasant smells can decrease the pain tolerance. In conclusion, applications of sweet and pleasant smells may be a beneficial and harmless addition to the management of pain symptoms. The results are relevant for workplaces where many people suffer from musculoskeletal pain, as well as in clinical settings where pain patients are treated. The key novelties of this paper are as follows: 1. Proposed a computer controlled hardware system that can be used for smell vs pain experiments, 2. Proposed PPT and CPT combined testing method for smell vs pain experiments, 3. Validated the effects reported for CPT in previous research using different odorants.

Index Terms—Smell vs Pain, Olfactometer, Pain tolerance, Cold pressor test, Pressure pain threshold, Algometer

I. INTRODUCTION

Pain is a complex sensation and cannot be directly measured. It is shaped by the intensity of noxious stimulation and by psychological variables, such as emotional state and mood. It can only be described properly by the person feeling the pain because it is an individual experience [1]. People often think of pain as a purely physical sensation. However, pain also has biological, psychological, social and emotional factors. Emotional thoughts and attitudes of patients affect pain perception [2]. Nevertheless, pleasant stimuli such as music or humorous films improves mood and reduces pain [3] while manipulations that affects mood negatively in turn increases pain perception [4].

Smell is about chemistry and memory [5]. Some consider it as the most emotionally expressive of the senses because the sense of smell is somewhat primal [5] and exceptional. Because of these characteristics of smell, it is popularly believed that smells (odors) have the potential to influence human performance, behavior, and mood [6], [7]. Some believed that by smelling a pleasant odor such as aromatherapy treatment can reduce pain [8]. Using EEG brain activity for different types of smells can be identified and localized [9], [10] and using a similar setup, it was found that aroma can relieve discomfort, immersion, stress and dizziness in a virtual reality environment [11].

Previous studies [12], [13] have provided evidence that odors may modulate pain in both humans and animals. Another study has suggested that pain tolerance can be influenced by odors, and certain odors significantly increase cognitive and physical

Kasun Karunanayaka is with University of Colombo School of Computing, Sri Lanka, and Imagineering Institute, Malaysia, E-mail: ktk@ucsc.cmb.ac.lk
Adrian David Cheok is with Imagineering Institute, Malaysia and i-University, Tokyo, Japan, adrian @imagineeringinstitute.org
Lars Louis Andersen is with National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Copenhagen, Denmark. e-mail:LLA@nfa.dk

Fig. 1: Experimental setup for cold pressor test (CPT). The participant dipping his hand inside the ice water with temperature kept at 7°C, and constantly monitored with digital thermometer

performance as well as physiological arousal in humans [14], [6], [3]. Furthermore, certain smells increased the pain tolerance in rats and mice [13], [15]. Similarly, [8], [16], [17] reported how smells of essential oils can be used to influence pain and possibly lead to relief of pain.

The main purpose of this study was to present a proper engineering method that can be used to conduct smell vs pain experiments and investigate the effect of smell on human pain threshold and tolerance. One of the key contribution for the engineering system was developing a computer controlled laboratory built olfactometer [18]. Olfactometers can help to obtain much accurate results for this kind of experiments. They can keep a particular odor in a personal space of a user for a

Fig. 2: Experimental setup for pressure pain threshold (PPT) measurement. Readings were taken with digital hand held algometer at the upper trapezius muscle (left and right) while the participant inhaled the smell through the mask.

defined period and able to switch to a different smell within seconds. In addition to that, we used an algometer (to take PPT measurements), a timer, and a temperature meter for the experiments. Figure 1 and Figure 2 shows the system we proposed being used for CPT and PPT experiments.

Using the proposed system, we investigated the effects of smell in pain threshold and perception. Validating the effects of these smells on pain threshold and tolerance will help to better understand the relationship between perceived smells and pain perception. Furthermore, these results could help to investigate the rationale under the use of odorants as an analgesic method especially for neck and shoulder pain as proposed by aromatherapy because both pain perception and smell have emotional hedonic attributes, and it has been reported that sweet smell increased pain tolerance, we investigated whether sweet (pleasant and sweet), and pleasant (but not sweet) smells will reduce pain and whether unpleasant smell will increase pain perception in two different conditions, cold pressor test (CPT) and pressure pain threshold (PPT). PPT can be used to stimulate different areas in the body such as muscles, joints, tendons and ligaments. Hence, we believed that using PPT should be an effective way of testing, especially for people who suffer from neck, shoulder and back pain. Meanwhile CPT was a well known method to obtain pain threshold or pain tolerance. Using both the methods together allow us to re-validate the results obtained by the other experiment. Previous studies on smell vs pain conducted either using CPT or PPT. Hence we decided to combine both experimental methods because we would be able to validate and compare our results easily with the previous works.

The key contributions of this paper are as follows. First, we developed an engineering platform to conduct smell vs pain

studies. Secondly, the combination of two experiments, CPT and PPT enhanced the accuracy for testing the hypothesis. Thirdly, we used orange, lavender, and fart smells as the combination and this combination was not tested on PPT before. Moreover, we aim to bring this research to apply contexts such as the working environment where about a third suffer from musculoskeletal pain. Clinical settings where pain patients are treated may also benefit from such knowledge.

Next sections of this paper are organized as follows. The Section II will discuss the system we developed for conducting smell vs pain studies. User study methods and experimental procedures would be discussed in the section III. The results obtained from the experiments will be presented in section IV. Section V will discuss the findings of this research and potential applications.

II. SMELL VS PAIN TEST PLATFORM

The test platform proposed by the authors equipped with a laboratory olfactometer, algometer, temperature meter, laptop, and a stopwatch. For both CPT and PPT the laboratory olfactometer was used to produce and deliver different smells and it was controlled using the laptop. The algometer was used in the PPT experiment to apply pressure on the trapezius muscle. In the CPT experiment ice water at 7°C was monitored using the temperature meter. The time taken to report pain threshold and tolerance were measured using the stopwatch app. Following two subsections will provide more information about the algometer and laboratory built olfactometer. Following subsections will discuss the olfactometer and algometer in detail.

A. Laboratory Olfactometer

Fig. 3: The laboratory built olfactometer used for the experiment which produce deliver different smells one at a time to the participants.

Olfactometer is a device which is used to generate and deliver different kinds of odorants based on a timely protocol. To stimulate subjects with different smells, we built our

Fig. 4: Pneumatic drawing of the olfactometer.

own olfactometer which is shown in Fig. 3. This laboratory olfactometer was designed with seven odor delivery channels and one continuous air flow channel. The device was controlled using a computer and released specific smells to a participant through the mask. For both PPT and CPT experiments, the olfactometer was configured to use three odor delivery channels (with lavender, orange, and fart) and a single continuous air flow channel (for no smell condition).

1) Operation of the Olfactometer

There are three subsystems in this laboratory Olfactometer; pneumatics system, controller circuit, and controller program. The schematic diagram of the olfactometer is shown in Fig. 4. To supply pressurized air, an ‘Oil-Free Air compressor’¹ was used. When the tank of the compressor is full, it is sufficient for the olfactometer to operate for about 30 minutes. The compressor switched on automatically and pump air when the air pressure of the storage tank turns low. A ‘pressure regulator unit’² with an air filter and a mist separator was installed to make sure that the air released by the compressor has the

correct air pressure and free from dust and water particles. Then the air is flowed through a ‘digital flow switch’³ which measured the air flow rate. Digital flow switch contained a knob that can adjust the air flow rate. We set the overall flow rate in the system to 5 l/min and this value was chosen to prevent any discomfort to the subjects.

Next, there was a ‘manifold’⁴ that divides the incoming air-flow into eight channels. Eight solenoid valves were connected at end of manifold’s outputs to control the air flow. Based on the stimulation protocol, the 7 valves related to smell delivery channels were opened or closed. Flow rate of the channels were set using the eight digital flow switches. Eight check valves were placed after the flow switches to prevent the backward flow.

Then there were eight odor gas washing bottles⁵. One of these gas washing bottles contained distilled water and the rest of the 7 bottles contained liquid odor solutions. The active airflow was bubbled through the solutions and generate odorized

¹Europower Silent Oil-Free Air Compressor EAX-5030, <http://www.mudah.my/Europower+Silent+Oil+Free+Air+Compressor+EAX+5030-36828289.htm>
²SMC AC30D-02CG-A fr/ms combo modular, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/AC30D-02CG-A.html>

³SMC PFM710-01-A digital flow switch, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/PFM710-01-A.html>
⁴SMC VV307-01-083-02-F manifold, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/VV307-01-083-02-F.htm>
⁵Quickfit Borosilicate Glass Dreschel Bottle, <https://www.fishersci.co.uk/shop/products/quickfit-borosilicate-glass-dreschel-bottle/p-8002986/?keyword=gas+wash+bottles>

Fig. 5: Schematic diagram of the olfactometer controller system with circuits (A-G) for odor delivery channels and circuit H for continuous airflow channel.

air. The air left from the gas washing bottles directed through check valves to prevent the back flow. Then, a manifold was used to combine eight channels into a single output channel. Finally, the output airflow was connected to a 3D printed mask, that presented the produced odors to the user. This 3D printed mask can be fixed into a chin rest if necessary. All the tubing for the olfactometer were made using polyurethane. Four different sizes of fittings⁶⁷⁸⁹ were used to connect these tubes with manifolds, check valves, solenoids, and other components.

Solenoid valves and the controller circuit of the olfactometer is shown in Fig. 5. Each solenoid valve was driven by a separate circuit which connected to an Arduino microcontroller. Microcontroller output pins were connected to eight MOSFETs that switch on and off the solenoids. The zero voltage at the MOSFET would closed the solenoid while 5 V would open it. We implemented optocouplers in between the microcontroller and MOSFETs to disconnect the logic and power and enhance

the safety of the system. Arduino microcontroller was operated on 5 V USB power and solenoids were operated at 12 V. This olfactometer can be easily interfaced with user study software such as E-Prime¹⁰, OpenSesame¹¹, and PsychoPy¹². However, for both CPT and PPT experiments, there was no activity to be perform on the computer for the participants, therefore, we controlled the olfactometer using the MTputty¹³ serial port client.

2) Odor Switching ability of the olfactometer

We roughly measured how fast the olfactometer could switch between different smells by performing a simple experiment. The odors used in this experiment were lavender, tea tree oil, and distilled water (as the control flow). First, the olfactometer was set to operate without any smell (using the control flow which bubbles through distilled water) for five seconds. Then, olfactometer released the lavender smell for 21 s and after that it released the tea tree oil smell for 21 s. For a total duration of 67 s we recorded the following: i) number of seconds taken for the subject to feel the lavender smell, ii) number of seconds taken for the subject to feel tea tree oil smell, and iii) number

⁶SMC KQ2H10-01AS fitting, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/KQ2H10-01AS.html>

⁷ SMC KQ2H10-02AS fitting, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/KQ2H10-02AS.html>

⁸SMC KQ2H10-12A fitting, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/KQ2H10-12A.html>

⁹SMC KQ2H12-02AS fitting, <http://www.smc-pneumatics.com/KQ2H12-02AS.html>

¹⁰<https://pstnet.com/products/e-prime/>

¹¹<https://www.opensesame.com/>

¹²<http://www.psychopy.org/>

¹³<http://ttyplus.com/multi-tabbed-putty/>

Fig. 6: Average time taken for users to detect different smells.

of seconds taken for the subject to stop feeling lavender smell completely. Ten user’s (four trials per person) responses were aggregated and analyzed.

The results of this experiment is shown in Fig. 6. The average time taken for the users to detect the lavender smell was 6.19 ± 2.61 s after releasing. After the olfactometer stop producing the lavender smell, the time taken to flush out the lavender smell from the olfactometer was 10.54 ± 6.17 s. Users started to sense the tea tree oil smell after 5.29 ± 1.15 s after releasing. In conclusion, this olfactometer was able to deliver a new odor to a user approximately in 6 s and it took about 11 s to flush out the previous odor completely from the system. This test results provided a rough idea about the time it takes for the olfactometer to produce and deliver a smell as well as the time taken to switch between different odorants. During the smell vs pain experiment, we only needed to change the smells in between the trials. Since the olfactometer takes a short time to both flush out one smell and deliver the new smell, we were satisfied with using this olfactometer for both PPT and CPT experiments.

B. Algometer

The algometer is a device that can be used to measure the pressure and identify force eliciting pressure-pain threshold (PPT) [19], which is the minimum force applied where the sensation of pressure changes to pain. It can be used to measure the PPT on muscles, joints, tendons and ligaments. PPT can be defined as the total number of force needed to evoke a sensation of pain different from discomfort or pressure [20]. Algometer used in this experiment is shown in Fig. 7 and it was a Wagner FPIX™Digital Algometer [21]. The contact area of the circular probe was 1 cm². The pressure from the device was applied perpendicular to the mid portion of the upper trapezius muscle at a rate of approximately $3\text{ N} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ until the participant experience that pressure becomes pain.

Fig. 7: Hand held Digital Algometer (Wagner Pain Test™ Model FPIX): a Digital device that give accurate pressure/force readings.

III. SMELL VS PAIN USER STUDY

After developing the technical platform, we conducted two experiments to study the effects of smell on pain threshold and pain tolerance for CPT and PPT. This section will provide a detailed overview of our experimental methods and procedures.

A. Subjects

Total of 32 subjects comprising 14 males and 18 females, (mean age = 26.3 years, standard deviation (SD) = 7.8 years, range = 20-45 years), participated for the two studies. An ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board, and each subject signed a consent form. Participants were paid for the time they spent based on hourly rate. Subjects were physically examined for good physical well-being and female subjects were excluded if they are pregnant or breastfeeding. Generally, any subject with either of the following conditions: broncho-pulmonary or neurological disease, chronic pain, cold or allergy symptoms, smoking, allergy to perfume, current use of analgesic medication including non-prescription drugs were excluded. Subjects with any kind of heart problem was also excluded [22]. Subjects were likewise advised not to use alcohol within 12 hours of the experimental procedure. Because of the role expectation and emotion plays on pain perception [23], participants were blind regarding the type of smell presented to them. The subjects were majorly university students and office workers.

B. Experimental Procedure

Our experiment was designed to understand if olfactory stimuli influence pain. The odorants we used were orange (sweet), lavender (pleasant), fart (unpleasant), and no smell as the control condition. This experiment was spread within four days per participant, each smell per day, and one day for no smell condition. The order of smells was randomized for each participant. The experiment was divided into two sub experiments: (i) cold pressor test (CPT) and (ii) pressure pain threshold (PPT) test. The ambient temperature was kept at 20°C throughout the experiment. Subjects were familiarized with the

procedure before the main experiment. The two experiments lasted for about one hour.

1) Experiment 1. Cold Pressor Test (CPT)

The aim of this study was to investigate how different type of odors affect pain perception, and to extend the findings reported by [6] using different smells and a different approach. The experiment took place for four days for each participant. In the first day, participant sits quietly in a comfortable chair for 5 minutes and the procedure was started with no smell (CPT-) condition (control). The temperature of the ice water was kept at 7°C and constantly monitored using a digital thermometer. The participant will immerse his/her hand and the experimenter was making sure the height and angle of hand that went down in water are same for every subject. The time of hand immersion was recorded. Then, participant was told to leave his/her hand in the ice water for as long as the subject can tolerate or endure the pain and withdraw when the subject can no longer tolerate the pain. The time at which the subject withdraws his/her hand, when he/she felt some pain was also recorded. Using these data we calculated the duration of the pain tolerance.

Participants had to wait for 30 minutes to switch between left and right hand without smell (CPT-) and the procedure was repeated. This interval was kept enabling the participant to adjust to normal body temperature. The second day, participants were exposed to a smell (CPT+) at approximately 5 minutes. The selected smell was released using olfactometer while dipping the hand (right or left) inside a cold ice water at temperature 7°C as shown in Fig. 1 and 30 minutes interval between switching of hands. This was repeated for all the smells and the duration was recorded and compared between the different smells.

2) Experiment 2. Pressure Pain Threshold measurement

In the second experiment, the pressure pain threshold (PPT) was measured. We used an algometer to apply pressure on the upper trapezius muscle (upper shoulder muscle). The participants were same as in the first experiment, that is university students and office staff, because most often these group of people have neck and shoulder pains. About one third of working age adults is estimated to experience neck and shoulder pain [24], [25].

First, each participant sits quietly and comfortably on a chair and waits for 5 minutes without smell. Then, the upper shoulder area (upper trapezius muscle) was exposed. Using the algometer, pressure was exerted on the upper trapezius (upper shoulder muscle). Five measurements of pain threshold were taken from each upper shoulder at different points. Interval of approximately 30 seconds separated each measurement, and 5 minutes to switch from left to right. In all instances, the right trapezius was tested first followed by the left. Total of 10 PPT readings were recorded for each of the participants. Participants were instructed to state when the sensation of pressure changes to slightly unpleasant pain [26]. Slightly unpleasant, as suggested by [27] represents an approximate intensity of 5 on a 21-point numeric pain rating scale, and this agrees with the definition of pain established by the International Association for the study of pain [28]. Because we want to measure pain tolerance and also capture pain threshold.

This procedure was repeated for all the three smells and the smell was released using olfactometer as shown in Fig. 2.

C. Data Analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using statistical package for social science (SPSS) for Windows. A significance level of $p < 0.05$ was adopted for all analyses. Data for both experiments were analyzed using One-Way Analysis of Variance, comparing the means within the groups and between the groups, to evaluate whether sweet and pleasant smell can increase pain threshold and tolerance. Effect size for One-way ANOVA was calculated to estimate the difference between the groups.

IV. RESULTS

A. Study 1: Cold Pressor Test

Fig. 8: This graph visualize the means for the Cold Pressure Test (CPT), where the time takes for the users to report unbearable pain for different smells. Error bars shows standard deviations (Std).

In the analysis, data were removed if the subject could not complete all four trials because the experiment was divided into four groups, sweet smell, pleasant smell, unpleasant smell and no smell condition. Also, data was excluded if subject removed his/her hand in CPT within 5 secs, because a substantial proportion of the subjects did not find the temperature noxious. Our hypothesis, was that sweet smell can increase pain tolerance while unpleasant smell can reduce pain tolerance. Thus, we measured the duration (time in secs) each participant can withstand the pain from cold pressor test. The total number of participants that completed the four trails were 32 ($n = 32$).

A One-Way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to explore the impact of smell on pain tolerance.

Fig. 9: Comparing means between male and female participants for CPT. Error bars shows standard deviations (Std).

Participants were divided into 4 groups based on the number of smells used and their gender. Generally, CPT experiment showed a statistical significant result at $p < 0.05$ in all the groups using no smell condition as control. The mean and standard deviation (SD) of CPT are 23.30 ± 18.48 (sweet), 20.70 ± 13.80 (pleasant), 18.76 ± 14.70 unpleasant, and 21.50 ± 16.56 (no smell) respectively as shown in Fig. 8. We also compared the groups between male and female, as well as the switch from left to right hand. Comparing between male and female, the sweet smell (orange) showed a statistically significant result $F(1,30) = 13.857$, $p = 0.001$, same applied for pleasant smell (lavender) and unpleasant smell, $F(1,30) = 5.853$, $p = 0.022$, $F(1,30) = 5.247$, $p = 0.029$. There was a statistically significant difference at $p < 0.05$ level in CPT for the groups. Fig. 9 represents the mean ratings for gender with sweet, pleasant and unpleasant and no smell, showing the time spent in water. However, between right and left hand, there was no statistical significant difference for the groups, $F(1,62) = 0.875$, $p = 0.353$. For all smells p was > 0.05 .

In CPT experiment, the results clearly indicate that odor has a significant effect on pain. Notwithstanding reaching statistical significance, the definite difference in mean scores between groups were rather small. However, the Effect Size for One-Way ANOVA was calculated to estimate the difference between the groups. The results showed that there is a large meaningful difference between the groups. Sweet smell and unpleasant smell analysis showed that 98% of the total variance is accounted for by the smell effect, while 97% is accounted for pleasant smell. Between male and female the mean difference was higher in males as compared to females. Comparing means plots of sweet with unpleasant smell, the graph shows a significant mean difference between the male and female participants.

B. Study 2: Pressure Pain Threshold Measurement

Five PPT measures were performed approximately 5 to 10 seconds apart at five different points on the trapezius muscle on right shoulder and left shoulder, making it 10 PPT measures, total and average was calculated. Similar to CPT, PPT data also presented a significant result on all the groups, at $p < 0.05$, $F(3,28) = 94.517$, $p = 0.002$ (sweet smell), $F(3,28) = 51.362$, $p = 0.004$ (pleasant smell) and $F(3,28) = 16.430$, $p = 0.020$ (unpleasant smell). Calculated mean and SD for PPT as presented in Fig. 10 when sweet and pleasant smells were presented are highest while unpleasant smell is lowest. There was a statistical significant different in comparison between gender, with $p < 0.05$ in all the groups while there was no significant difference in comparing left and right shoulder, $F(1,62) = 0.561$, $p = 0.457$. Fig. 11 compared the means amongst male and female, while Fig. 12 represents the graphs of the 4 groups comparing their means for right and left shoulder.

Fig. 10: Means of the Pressure Pain Threshold (PPT) for each smell. Error bars shows standard deviations (Std).

V. DISCUSSION

The main purpose of the user experiments was to study if sweet or pleasant smells increases the pain threshold and tolerance while unpleasant smells reduces the pain threshold and tolerance. CPT and PPT experiments clearly validated this claim. Sweet and pleasant smells increased the pain tolerance, the duration for the hand to be in the water significantly increased during CPT with sweet and pleasant smell contrasting to the no smell condition (CPT-) and unpleasant smell. These results evidently showed that sweet smell increased the duration that subjects kept their hand exposed to cold water. This result agreed with [29], whose studies reported that odor sweetness reduces pain where pain tolerance was increased by sweet smell during CPT. Also [30] on their study on taste reported that sweetness increased pain tolerance. For us, both sweet

TABLE I: A comparison between the related works and Smell vs Pain research

Title of Work	Tools Used	Evaluation Methods	Results
Pain Tolerance Selectively Increased by a Sweet-Smelling Odor [5]	Nose mask impregnated with odor solution was worn by the participants.	Cold Pressor Test (CPT)	Sweet smell increased pain tolerance.
Odors modulate pain perception: A gender-specific effect [6]	Cotton ball impregnated with odor placed in airtight bottle.	• Nociceptive thermal stimuli • Hot water trial	• Mood perception was significantly increased by pleasant odors. • Mood perception was significantly decreased by unpleasant odors. • No significant difference in mood perception was recorded in gender. • Pain perception was not influenced by odors. • Significant effect was obtained for gender on perception.
Effects of odors on pain perception: deciphering the roles of emotion and attention [3]	• TSA II Neuro-Sensory analyser • Computerized Knosys Olfactometer	Heat stimuli	• Pain experience can be modulated by odors. • Pleasant and unpleasant odors can lead to changes in emotions.
Unpleasant odors increase pain processing in a patient with neuropathic pain: Psychophysical and fMRI investigation [13]	Computerized Knosys Olfactometer	Odor stimuli	Unpleasant odor increased pain intensity.
Electronic Instrument to Measure Effects of Smell on Pain Threshold and Tolerance (this paper)	• Laboratory built olfactometer to present different smells accurately to the users • Algometer to take accurate PPT readings • Temperature meter to monitor the temperature of ice water during CPT • Stop watch app to record user response times	• Cold Pressor Test (CPT) • Pain Pressure Threshold (PPT)	• Sweet smell increased the pain tolerance and pain threshold • Pleasant smell increased the pain tolerance and pain threshold • Unpleasant smell decreased the pain tolerance and pain threshold • Significant effects obtained between male and female • No significant effects between to left and right shoulders

Fig. 11: Comparing means between male and female participants for PPT. Error bars shows standard deviations (Std).

Fig. 12: Comparing means of right and left shoulder of participants for PPT. Error bars shows standard deviations (Std).

smells and pleasant smells produced a positive result. Studies have shown people experience most of sweet-smelling odorants as pleasant [31]. Similarly, PPT increased significantly in both sweet and pleasant smell and reduced in unpleasant smell groups.

One possible explanation for the effect of odors on pain perception possibly is that odor influences mood, which in turn affects pain perception. And considering the mechanism of smell pain reduction, the effect of smell on pain reduction may have several distinct mechanisms. This is because the sensory

process of olfaction modify the brain activity through its ascension to numerous parts of the brain, including amygdala. The fact that the sense of smell directly links to the limbic region of the brain suggests that smell can quickly modify pain sensation and unpleasantness through its emotional modulation [32], [33]. Furthermore, smell could affect one's physiological and psychological state through two mechanisms; the intrinsic pharmacological properties of the odor molecule itself and contextual association and memory [34]. The results for both

1 experiments were statistically significant on gender differences.
2 As also reported by [12], using hot water (46 – 48°C), odor
3 pleasantness influenced the perception of pain more in woman
4 than in men. But our results showed males mean CPT+ to be
5 higher compared to the females CPT+.

6 Table I shows the comparison of contributions made in
7 this paper with previous works. Our study was the first to
8 investigated the effect of smell on pain combining two different
9 approaches; CPT and PPT using olfactometer to release smell.
10 No previous study has reported the combination of the these
11 odorants and measurements at this anatomical point. Other
12 studies on PPT measurement did not employ the use of odorants.
13 Some studies on PPT measurement are owing to pathology or
14 done in relation to a therapeutic intervention [35], [36], [37]
15 and others on healthy humans reported on gender differences
16 in experimental PPT [38]. The smells we have used (orange,
17 lavender, and fart) were previously not tested as a combination
18 for the smell vs pain experiments. Apart from [3],[13] this is
19 the only study that was carried out using an olfactometer.
20 Olfactometer can automatically create the necessary smell
21 experiences in the environment near the participant. Other
22 previous experiments used special wearables to make smell
23 stimulation and these special wearables could distract the
24 participant.

25 Our study provides evidence that sweet smell could increase
26 pain tolerance and therefore reduce pain perception. Thus, we
27 believe applying this method will be beneficial to companies,
28 institutions, and can be applied in work environments generally.
29 We can never ignore the cause of neck and shoulder pain
30 especially amongst students and office workers, because they
31 spend reasonable amount of time sitting and bending towards
32 their computers. We suggest that the use of smell rather than
33 pain relief medication could reduce drug over use and drug
34 dependency rate among office workers. We believe using sweet
35 smelling odorants could reduce pain and consequently will
36 encourage and enhance work performance.

37 In this study we have used only healthy students and office
38 participants. Future research will include testing with people
39 with chronic neck-shoulder pain, e.g. during a standardized
40 office work task. Repeated measures on different days with
41 pleasant, sweet, unpleasant and control condition in a random-
42 ized order will be recorded. Perceived pain intensity on a scale
43 of 0-10, where 0 is no pain and 10 is maximal pain will also
44 be measured.

45 The effect of smell on pain should also be seen in the light
46 of cost-effectiveness. Pleasant and sweet smells could easily
47 be dispersed in office work places at very low cost and thereby
48 have the potential to benefit a large proportion of the workers.
49 The results also have relevance for clinical settings where pain
50 patients are treated, e.g. sweet and pleasant smells can easily
51 be dispersed in physiotherapy settings to enhance the positive
52 experience of the patient.

53
54
55 VI. CONCLUSION

56 In this paper we presented a technological platform to
57 conduct smell vs pain experiments and then investigated
58 whether sweet, and pleasant smells will reduce pain and whether

59 unpleasant smell will increase pain in two different conditions,
60 cold pressor test (CPT) and pressure pain threshold (PPT).
Both pain threshold and tolerance were significantly improved
for sweet, and pleasant smells and reduced for the unpleasant
smell. Furthermore, we found that gender played a role in
pain tolerance where males have a higher pain tolerance than
females. The key novelties of this research includes; introduced
a technological platform that can facilitate for smell vs pain
experiments, the first smell vs pain experiment done combining
CPT and PPT, and validating previous experiments reported
for CPT using different set of odorants. We believe these
findings will be useful in the future for designing multisensory
environments for work, studies, and living.

REFERENCES

[1] N. U. Hospitals. (2017) Pain. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nuh.nhs.uk/our-services/services/nottingham-back-and-pain-team/what-is-pain/>

[2] D. Rakele, *Integrative Medicine*. Elsevier, 2017.

[3] C. Villemure, B. M. Slotnick, and M. C. Bushnell, "Effects of odors on pain perception: deciphering the roles of emotion and attention," *Pain*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 101–108, 2003.

[4] M. W. Meagher, R. C. Arnau, and J. L. Rhudy, "Pain and emotion: effects of affective picture modulation," *Psychosomatic medicine*, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 79–90, 2001.

[5] C. A. Olson. (2016) Our sense of smell has an effect on pain. [Online]. Available: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/believe-smell-has-direct-effect-pain-cindy-ann-olson>

[6] J. Prescott and J. Wilkie, "Pain tolerance selectively increased by a sweet-smelling odor," *Psychological Science*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 308–311, 2007.

[7] A. Yoto, T. MORIYAMA, H. Yokogoshi, Y. Nakamura, T. Katsuno, and T. Nakayama, "Effect of smelling green tea rich in aroma components on eeg activity and memory task performance," *International Journal of Affective Engineering*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 227–233, 2014.

[8] R. Balz, *The Healing Power of Essential Oils: Fragrance Secrets for Everyday Use*. Lotus Press, 1996.

[9] Y. Benazzouz and R. Boudour, "Integration of smell into the digital world," in *2019 Third International Conference on Intelligent Computing in Data Sciences (ICDS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.

[10] E. Yavuz and Ö. Aydemir, "Olfaction recognition by eeg analysis using wavelet transform features," in *2016 International Symposium on INnovations in Intelligent SysTems and Applications (INISTA)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–4.

[11] S. H. Oh and T. K. Whangbo, "Study on relieving vr contents users fatigue degree using aroma by measuring eeg," in *2018 International Conference on Information and Communication Technology Convergence (ICTC)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 568–570.

[12] S. Marchand and P. Arsenault, "Odors modulate pain perception: a gender-specific effect," *Physiology & Behavior*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 251–256, 2002.

[13] A. M. Aloisi, I. Ceccarelli, F. Masi, and A. Scaramuzzino, "Effects of the essential oil from citrus lemon in male and female rats exposed to a persistent painful stimulation," *Behavioural brain research*, vol. 136, no. 1, pp. 127–135, 2002.

[14] C. Villemure, S. Wassimi, G. J. Bennett, Y. Shir, and M. C. Bushnell, "Unpleasant odors increase pain processing in a patient with neuropathic pain: Psychophysical and fmri investigation," *Pain*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 213–220, 2006.

[15] M. Nakama-Kitamura, "The distinctive significance of analgesic drugs and olfactory stimulants on learned pain in mice," *Brain research*, vol. 1588, pp. 104–112, 2014.

[16] D. G. Young, "Essential oils integrative medical guide," 2003.

[17] N. S. A. LoBisco. (2014) The power of olfaction in the relief of pain. [Online]. Available: <http://ndnr.com/pain-medicine/the-smell-of-pain/>

[18] K. Karunanayaka, H. Saadiah, H. Shahroom, and A. D. Cheok, "Methods to develop a low cost laboratory olfactometer for multisensory, psychology, and neuroscience experiments," in *Proceedings of the 43rd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 41–50.

[19] A. M. Kinser, W. A. Sands, and M. H. Stone, "Reliability and validity of a pressure algometer," *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 312–314, 2009.

- [20] A. A. Fischer, "Pressure algometry over normal muscles. standard values, validity and reproducibility of pressure threshold," *Pain*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 115–126, 1987.
- [21] Wagner pain test algometer. [Online]. Available: http://www.paintest.com/fpix_digital_pain_tester_algometer.php
- [22] M. A. Greene, A. J. Boltax, G. A. Lustig, and E. Rogow, "Circulatory dynamics during the cold pressor test," *The American journal of cardiology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 54–60, 1965.
- [23] S. Geuter, F. Eippert, C. H. Attar, and C. Büchel, "Cortical and subcortical responses to high and low effective placebo treatments," *Neuroimage*, vol. 67, pp. 227–236, 2013.
- [24] L. L. Andersen, O. S. Mortensen, J. V. Hansen, and H. Burr, "A prospective cohort study on severe pain as a risk factor for long-term sickness absence in blue- and white-collar workers," *Occupational and environmental medicine*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 590–592, 2011.
- [25] A. K. Blangsted, K. Sogaard, E. A. Hansen, H. Hannerz, and G. Sjøgaard, "One-year randomized controlled trial with different physical-activity programs to reduce musculoskeletal symptoms in the neck and shoulders among office workers," *Scandinavian journal of work, environment & health*, pp. 55–65, 2008.
- [26] K. S. Kumar Reddy, M. Naidu, P. U. Rani, and T. R. K. Rao, "Human experimental pain models: A review of standardized methods in drug development," *Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 6, p. 587, 2012.
- [27] R. H. Gracely and D. M. Kwilosz, "The descriptor differential scale: applying psychophysical principles to clinical pain assessment," *Pain*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 279–288, 1988.
- [28] H. Merskey, "Descriptions of chronic pain syndromes and definition of pain terms," *Classification of chronic pain*, pp. 41–42, 1994.
- [29] J. Prescott, "The basis of odor effects on pain: a review and investigation of conditioned odor effects," *James Cook University Cairns, Australia, Sense of Smell Institute, White Paper*, 2006.
- [30] M. D. Lewkowski, B. Ditto, M. Roussos, and S. N. Young, "Sweet taste and blood pressure-related analgesia," *Pain*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 181–186, 2003.
- [31] M. R. Yeomans, S. Mobini, T. D. Elliman, H. C. Walker, and R. J. Stevenson, "Hedonic and sensory characteristics of odors conditioned by pairing with tastants in humans," *Journal of Experimental Psychology-Animal Behavior Processes*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 215–227, 2006.
- [32] E. Callaway, "Fearful memories haunt mouse descendants," *Nature*, vol. 1, 2013.
- [33] Y. Masaoka, M. Takayama, H. Yajima, A. Kawase, N. Takakura, and I. Homma, "Analgesia is enhanced by providing information regarding good outcomes associated with an odor: placebo effects in aromatherapy?" *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, vol. 2013, 2013.
- [34] J. Willander and M. Larsson, "Smell your way back to childhood: Autobiographical odor memory," *Psychonomic bulletin & review*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 240–244, 2006.
- [35] A. Fischer, "Application of pressure algometry in manual medicine," *J Man Med*, vol. 5, pp. 145–150, 1990.
- [36] C.-Z. Hong, Y.-C. Chen, C. H. Pon, and J. Yu, "Immediate effects of various physical medicine modalities on pain threshold of an active myofascial trigger point," *Journal of musculoskeletal Pain*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 37–53, 1993.
- [37] H. G. Pratzel, "Application of pressure algometry in balneology for evaluation of physical therapeutic modalities and drag effects," *Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 111–137, 1998.
- [38] L. S. Chesterton, P. Barlas, N. E. Foster, G. D. Baxter, and C. C. Wright, "Gender differences in pressure pain threshold in healthy humans," *Pain*, vol. 101, no. 3, pp. 259–266, 2003.

Kasun Karunanayaka is a Senior Lecture at University of Colombo School of Computing, Sri Lanka. He conducts research related to Mixed Reality, Multisensory Communication, and Physical Computing. Kasun obtained his Bsc (Hons) in IT degree from University Moratuwa, Sri Lanka in 2008 and his PhD in Electrical and Computer Engineering from National University of Singapore (NUS) in 2014.

Kasun held the positions of Deputy Vice Director, Senior Research Fellow, and Research Fellow at Imagineering Institute, Malaysia between 2015-2019.

He served as a Research Engineer in Interactive and Digital Media Institute, National University of Singapore during 2013-2014. He also worked as a software engineer in IT industry in Sri Lanka between 2006-2008.

Kasun's research have been published in several international conferences such as ACM SIGCHI, IEEE VR, Interact, IECON, ACE, SIGGRAPH ASIA, Laval Virtual, HAI, BodyNets, AMI, ACHI and international journals including IEEE TVCG, Springer PUC, CIE, ClinMed, and St. Gallens. Further, he has received research awards including IEEE VR honorable mentioned for the best paper in 2018, SIGCHI Honorable Mention paper award in 2015, 2 nd best paper award of ACE in 2017, and best Creative Showcase Demo award of ACE in 2010.

He has conducted several international project demonstrations including a three-month long Digital Food exhibition at Singapore Science center in 2017, demos at Brainy Tongue 2016 in San Sebastian, Spain, CHI 2016 in California, ICMI 2016 in Tokyo, Laval Virtual 2009, in France, and ACE 2017 in London, etc.

Kasun's research work also gained a significant media attention in the recent years. They were featured in IEEE Spectrum, IEEE Innovation spotlight, NBC news, Channel News Asia, Techxplore.com, Dailymail.CO.UK, Mirror.CO.UK, etc.

Kasun is an associate editor in ICT for Emerging Regions Journal. He also served as a guest editor for special issues in Springer Personal and Ubiquitous Computing and Frontiers in Psychology journals. He is the general chair of ICTER 2020 conference. Apart from that he has served as an academic chair, program committee member, workshop organizer, reviewer for many international journals and conferences.

Adrian David Cheok Adrian David Cheok AM (member IEEE) is Director of the Imagineering Institute, Malaysia, Full Professor at i-University Tokyo, Visiting Professor at Raffles University, Malaysia, Visiting Professor at University of Novi Sad-Serbia, on Technical faculty “Mihailo Pupin, Serbia, Faculty of Ducere Business School, and CEO of Nikola Tesla Technologies Corporation. In 2019, The Governor General of Australia, Representative of Her Majesty the Queen Elizabeth II, has awarded Australias highest honour the Order of Australia to Adrian David Cheok for his contribution to international education and research.

He is Founder and Director of the Mixed Reality Lab, Singapore. He was formerly Professor of Pervasive Computing, University of London, Full Professor at Keio University, Graduate School of Media Design and Associate Professor in the National University of Singapore. He has

previously worked in real-time systems, soft computing, and embedded computing in Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs, Japan.

He has been working on research covering mixed reality, human-computer interfaces, wearable computers and ubiquitous computing, fuzzy systems, embedded systems, power electronics.

He has successfully obtained approximately \$30 million dollars in funding for externally funded projects in the area of wearable computers and mixed reality from Daiwa Foundation, Khazanah National (Malaysian Government), Media Development Authority, Nike, National Oilwell Varco, Defense Science Technology Agency, Ministry of Defense, Ministry of Communications and Arts, National Arts Council, Singapore Science Center, and Hougang Primary School. The research output has included many high quality academic journal papers, research awards, keynote speeches, international exhibitions, numerous government demonstrations including to government President and Prime Ministers, broadcast television worldwide broadcasts on his research (such as CNN/ CNBC/ ABC/ Discovery/ National Geographic etc.), and hundreds of international press media articles.

He has been a keynote and invited speaker at numerous international conferences and events. He was invited to exhibit for two years in the Ars Electronica Museum of the Future, launching in the Ars Electronica Festival 2003 and 2017. His works “Human Pacman, “Magic Land, and “Metazoa Ludens, were each selected as one of the worlds top inventions by Wired and invited to be exhibited in Wired NextFest 2005 and 2007.

He was awarded the Hitachi Fellowship, the A-STAR Young Scientist of the Year Award, and the SCS Singapore Young Professional of the Year Award. He was invited to be the Singapore representative of the United Nations body IFIP SG 16 on Entertainment Computing and the founding Chairman of the Singapore Computer Society Special Interest Group on Entertainment Computing. He was awarded an Associate of the Arts award by the Minister for Information, Communications and the Arts, Singapore. He was awarded as Fellow in Education, World Technology Network. He was awarded a Microsoft Research Award for Gaming and Graphics. He received the C4C Children Competition Prize for best interaction media for children, the Integrated Art Competition Prize by the Singapore Land Transport Authority, Creativity in Action Award, and a First Prize Nokia Mindtrek Award. He received a First Prize in the Milan International InventiON competition. He received the Gold award for best Creative Showcase ACE, He is winner of Keio University Gijyujusho award, awarded for the best research of the year in Keio University, Japans oldest university. He received an SIP Distinguished Fellow Award which honors legendary leaders whose illustrious lives have positively influenced lives across generations and communities around the globe. He was awarded Young Global Leader by the World Economic Forum. This honor is bestowed each year by the World Economic Forum to recognize and acknowledge the top young leaders from around the world for the professional accomplishments, commitment to society and potential to contribute to shaping the future of the world. He was awarded “Honorary Expert by Telefonica and El Bulli, the number one restaurant in the world. He is a Fellow of the Royal Society for the encouragement of Arts, Manufactures and Commerce (RSA), an organisation which is committed to finding innovative practical solutions to todays social challenges. His research on smell interfaces was selected by NESTA as Top 10 Technologies of 2015. In 2016, he was awarded the Distinguished Alumni Awards by University of Adelaide, in recognition of his achievements and contribution in the field of Computing, Engineering and Multisensory communication. In 2017, he entered the elite list of The h-Index for Computer Science, a list that contains only the top 0.06awarded Albert Nelson Marquis Lifetime Achievement Award. Remote kissing gadget ‘Kissenger was selected to the Top 100 Science Spinoffs and has entered the top 2 5,000 global science spin-offs according to the following criteria: uniqueness of the technology/product, high commercial potential and positive impact to the humanitys well- being by Spinoff.com.

He is Editor in Chief of the academic journals: Advances in Robotics

and Automation, Transactions on Edutainment (Springer), ACM Computers in Entertainment, and Lovotics: Academic Studies of Love and Friendship with Robots, and Multimodal Technologies and Interaction. He is Associate Editor of Advances in Human Computer Interaction, International Journal of Arts and Technology (IJART), Journal of Recent Patents on Computer Science, The Open Electrical and Electronic Engineering Journal, International Journal of Entertainment Technology and Management (IJEntTM), Virtual Reality (Springer-Verlag), International Journal of Virtual Reality, The Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing (AIHC, Springer), The Journal of Virtual Reality and Broadcasting.

Adrian David Cheok, who was born and raised in Adelaide, Australia, graduated from the University of Adelaide with a Bachelor of Engineering (Electrical and Electronic) with First Class Honors in 1992 and an Engineering PhD in 1998.

Lars Louis Andersen is Professor at NRCWE in Denmark. His research on work environment covers 1) epidemiology concerning risk factors for sickness absence and disability pension 2) randomized controlled trials to prevent musculoskeletal disorders and to improve work ability and wellbeing, 3) laboratory studies with physiological measurement to study responses to office work as well as heavy and fatiguing work.