Cooling without Air Conditioning: Membrane-Assisted Radiant Cooling for Expanding Thermal Comfort Zones Globally

Eric Teitelbaum ¹, Adam Rysanek ², Jovan Pantelic ², Dorit Aviv ², Lea Ruefenacht ², Megan Teitelbaum ², Forrest Meggers ², Kipp Bradford ², Kian Wee Chen ², and Denon Sheppard ²

¹Princeton University ²Affiliation not available

October 30, 2023

Abstract

In this paper, we present results from a world-first radiant cooling pavilion, demonstrating a method of cooling people without cooling the air. Instead, surfaces are chilled and thermal radiation is used to keep people cool. A thermally-transparent membrane is used to prevent unwanted air cooling and condensation, a required precursor to deploying radiant cooling panels without humidity control in tropical environments. The results from this thermal comfort study demonstrate the ability to keep people comfortable with radiation in warm air, a paradigm shifting approach to thermal comfort that may help curb global cooling demand projections.

Cooling without Air Conditioning: Membrane-Assisted Radiant Cooling for Expanding Thermal Comfort Zones Globally

Eric Teitelbaum^{a,b,c,2}, Kian Wee Chen^c, Dorit Aviv^{b,d}, Kipp Bradford^b, Lea Ruefenacht^a, Denon Sheppard^e, Megan Teitelbaum^f, Forrest Meggers^{b,c}, Jovan Pantelic^{f,g}, and Adam Rysanek^e

^a Singapore-ETH Centre, ETH Zurich, Singapore, 318602, Singapore; ^bSchool of Architecture, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; ^cAndlinger Center for Energy and the Environment, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; ^dWeitzman School of Design, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ^eSchool of Architecture and Landscape Architecture, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, CAN; ^fBerkeley Education Alliance for Research in Singapore, 138602, Singapore; ^gCenter for the Built Environment, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

This manuscript was compiled on March 26, 2020

We present results of a world-first radiant cooling system that made the hot and humid tropical climate of Singapore feel relatively cool and comfortable. Thermal radiation exchange between occupants and surfaces in the built environment can augment thermal comfort. 2 Even in air-conditioned spaces, radiation exchanged between occupants and their surroundings accounts for approximately 50% of their 3 perceived comfort(1). The lack of widespread commercial adoption of radiant cooling technologies for indoor air conditioning is due to two 4 widely-held views: (1) the low temperature required for radiant cooling in hot and humid environments will form condensation and (2) cold 5 surfaces will still cool adjacent air via convection, limiting overall radiant cooling effectiveness. This work directly challenges these views 6 and dispenses with them. We constructed a demonstrative outdoor radiant cooling pavilion in Singapore that used an infrared-transparent low density polyethylene membrane to provide radiant cooling at temperatures up to 20 °C below the dew point. Surrounding the radiant 8 cooling surfaces by an air-gap and infrared-transparent membrane permits radiation exchange to occur between the human body and cold 9 surfaces whilst avoiding condensation on any exposed material as well as significant convective heat transfer losses. Test subjects who 10 experienced the pavilion (n=37) reported a 'cool' to 'neutral' thermal sensation 81% of the time, despite experiencing 29.6 \pm 0.9 °C air at 66.5 11 \pm 5 %RH and with low air movement of 0.26 \pm 0.18 $m~s^{-1}$. Comfort was achieved with a coincident mean radiant temperature of 23.9 \pm 0.8 12 $^\circ$ C, requiring a chilled water supply temperature of 17.0 \pm 1.8 $^\circ$ C. The pavilion operated successfully without any observed condensation on 13 exposed surfaces despite an observed dewpoint temperature of 23.7 \pm 0.7 $^{\circ}$ C. The coldest conditions observed without condensation used 14 a chilled water supply temperature 12.7 °C below the dew point, which resulted in a mean radiant temperature 3.6 °C below the dew point of 15 23.7 °C. 16

Radiant Cooling | Thermal Comfort | Energy Efficiency | Photonics

or the first time in known records, a radiant cooling system 1 that makes people comfortable in the hot-humid tropical 2 outdoors, and yet does not condense water, has been created. 3 The cooling panel operates below dew-point temperatures, but is insulated from humid air by a membrane transparent to 5 longwave radiation. It successfully makes people feel comfort-6 able in conditions exceeding 30 $^{\circ}$ C and 65% relative humidity 7 without modifying the air temperature or humidity circulating 8 around human bodies. By relying instead on thermal radiation, 9 the system created and investigated in this paper made people 10 feel cold outdoors in tropical Singapore, reporting thermal 11 comfort sensations of "cool" as assessed by a thermal comfort 12 survey, despite the unconditioned outdoor air temperature 13 and humidity. 14

While thermal radiation has been studied for over a century 15 16 in the context of thermal comfort (2-5), a database of buildings spanning 23 countries containing 81,846 complete sets of 17 objective indoor climatic observations (6) does not contain a 18 single data point with a mean radiant temperature more than 19 4 °C below the air temperature, for air temperatures above 20 28 °C. This fact, in conjunction with further literature review 21 (3, 7, 8) leads the authors to believe such an environment has 22 never been designed or studied. For reference, mean radiant 23 temperature is a proxy for the view factor-weighted average 24

temperature of the surroundings.

In 1963, Morse proposed a method for radiant cooling in the tropics, using a membrane-assisted approach to convectively isolate chilled surfaces from the surrounding air (7). The membrane is transparent to thermal radiation in the 5-50 micron range where humans emit, allowing for radiant cooling to occur between the chilled surface and a person through the membrane.

25

While this idea has been proposed, a full scale system has 33 never been built testing whether the uniqueness of conditions 34 will actually provide comfort for people(6). The conditions of 35 high air temperature and low mean radiant temperature do 36 not occur naturally anywhere, as chilled surfaces act as heat 37 exchangers, cooling the air. Using the thermally transparent 38 membrane as a convection shield, we eliminate this mechanism 39 of heat transfer. Further, we transformed the initial 1963 40 concept with modern analytical techniques to improve the 41 system's performance in the tropics, eliminating the need for 42 components such as an internal heater and originally proposed 43 by Morse to avoid condensation on the outer surface of the 44 membrane (9). Promising results from this initial study (9)45 were scaled up to a full scale demonstrator, in which a thermal 46 comfort study was conducted to monitor occupants' responses 47 to the low radiant temperature environment with high outdoor 48





Fig. 2. The completed Cold Tube.

Fig. 1. Schematic of a Cold Tube radiant cooling panel (left) and radiant heat transfer through the infrared-transparent membrane (right).

air temperatures for the first time (6). 49

Typically, building occupants associate comfort with air 50 temperature and relative humidity, and in traditional build-51 ings, only air temperature is required for a comfort setpoint 52 (8). To demonstrate that our system provides comfort while 53 operating outside the conventional comfort modes, we con-54 ducted a thermal comfort study, surveying participants to 55 gauge the perception of the new thermal environment. 56

Figure 1 schematically illustrates how the system functions, 57 allowing radiation to pass, but not air and humidity, thereby 58 reducing convection and eliminating condensation. Chilled 59 water is circulated in a dense capillary mat internally in the 60 panels. These cold surfaces extract heat independent of the 61 air temperature, but it is previously impossible to remove heat 62 from people radiatively without also cooling the air. 63

Such a radiative cooling system is notable since a carbon-64 constrained world is an air conditioning-constrained world, an 65 unavoidable fact as global air conditioning demand is expected 66 to reach 50 exagoules (EJ) by the end of the century, eclipsing 67 global heating demand around 2070 (10). Already in the 68 United States, air conditioning is responsible for nearly 9% 69 of all primary energy demand (11) and is one of the primary 70 CO_2 emission sectors. 71

Air conditioning is an attractive choice for comfort systems 72 as the refrigeration cycle both dehumidifies and cools air, 73 an important function since much of the ventilation load in 74 the United States and tropics is dehumidification, known 75 as the latent load (12). However, dehumidification requires 76 subcooling the air, an energetically and exergetically intensive 77

process (13), and the two processes cannot be decoupled with conventional vapor compression techniques. Using radiant systems for cooling and desiccants for dehumidification is an efficient combination (14).

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

With the recent excitement surrounding tunable nanophotonic materials for passive daytime and radiative cooling (15– 17), this study helps advance the understanding for the potential of direct occupant radiant cooling. Utilizing these materials for comfort can increase the utility of outdoor space. manage thermal comfort of walking people, and rapidly provide cooling comfort to people outdoors, perhaps at bus stops, all without wasting cooling energy to the air.

Results

The completed pavilion, known further as the Cold Tube, is shown in figure 2. Three vertical panels are shown on the image in the left, and in the interior image on the right both vertical and horizontal ceiling panels are shown. The optically clear membrane is also transparent to infrared radiation, with a hemispherical transmissivity of 0.824 at 300 K. The blue capillary mats inside the panels circulated chilled water produced by a heat pump. The capillaries were in thermal contact with a thin metal sheet painted white (emissivity 0.95 at 300 K). Sensible heat in the air prevents condensation on 100 the membrane surface, maintaining temperatures above the 101 dew point for chilled water up to 20 °C below the dew point 102 supplied to the capillary mats, allowing comfortable conditions 103 with exclusively radiant cooling, no air conditioning. 104

The coldest mean radiant temperature produced in the 105 Cold Tube was 19.9 °C with a coincident air temperature of 106 29.3 °C and supply water temperature of 10.8 °C, producing 107 no condensation despite a dew point of 23.5 °C. Not only was 108 the chilled water supply temperature 12.7 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ below the dew 109 point, but the resulting mean radiant temperature was 3.6 110 ¹¹¹ °C below the dew point. Such conditions have never been ¹¹² achieved (6) in the built environment.

55 individuals participated in a subjective thermal comfort 113 study in the Cold Tube carried out from January 8 through 114 January 27 in 2019. 37 of the test subjects experienced the 115 Cold Tube operating, and the remaining 18 were a control 116 group experiencing the Cold Tube when turned off (and thus 117 providing shade only). All test subjects were first asked to 118 sit in a shaded outdoor space adjacent to the Cold Tube for 119 a period of 15 minutes in order to achieve thermal neutrality 120 with outdoor conditions. 121

Figure 3 shows histograms of cumulative data for thermal 122 responses on a 7 point scale, ranging from -3 (cold) to 3 123 (hot) with 0 as neutral. After reaching thermal neutrality 124 in the shade, which was confirmed verbally by participants, 125 participants were surveyed three more times: 1) after walking 126 seven minutes to the Cold Tube, 2) after sitting in the Cold 127 Tube for one minute, and 3) after sitting in the Cold Tube 128 for 10 minutes. Data from both the operational and non-129 operational Cold Tube participants are displayed side by side 130 in the histograms. Statistics about the distributions, as well 131 as p-values assessing the likelihood the responses from both 132 the Cold Tube on and off groups are related based on a t-test. 133

Data in figure 3 shows that when the Cold Tube is on, there 134 is never a 'Hot' population in the Cold Tube, and after pro-135 longed sitting in the pavilion, 'Slightly Warm' is the warmest 136 vote. While 46% of Cold Tube on responses were warm after 137 only 1 minutes in the Cold Tube, which is greater than the 138 initial state population, this number fell to 27% after being in 139 the Cold Tube for 10 minutes. More importantly, the mean 140 vote drops below 0, implying the mean of the perception is 141 cool. Such a result is without precedent for conditions where 142 air velocities are below 0.4 $m s^{-1}$ and air temperature exceeds 143 30 °C. The t-test provides a p-value less than 0.02, implying a 144 98% confidence interval that both survey groups were report-145 ing feeling different thermal sensations. Much higher p-values 146 were observed between the populations of Initial State and 147 Walking responses. Similarly, the p-value of the Cold Tube off 148 group compared to the Initial State groups together is 0.74, 149 compared to 0.002 with the Cold Tube on compared with the 150 Initial State population. This implies that the Cold Tube, 151 when turned off, was perceived to provide a similar degree of 152 comfort as sitting under any shaded outdoor structure, but 153 sitting inside the Cold Tube when it was on was absolutely 154 not perceived as similar to a shading-only scenario. 155

Data from both Cold Tube on and off groups were inter-156 preted in the adaptive comfort framework, plotted in figure 157 4a. Using the operative temperature calculated in equation 1, 158 the outdoor air temperature was used as the x-axis and data 159 is shaded based on the satisfaction response. When the Cold 160 Tube was operational, 21% of participants were dissatisfied, 161 which is nearly an allowable design criteria within the adap-162 tive comfort framework (80% satisfaction interval), however 163 when the Cold Tube was off, 73% of participants were dissat-164 isfied. There is a clear segmentation between the on and off 165 groups, and shows that this type of system has potential for 166 augmenting comfort in naturally ventilated spaces without air 167 conditioning. 168

The same data is transformed in figure 4b, plotting the raw mean radiant temperature data against the air temperature for each survey point. Again, there is a clear separation of



Fig. 3. The thermal sensation votes reported by occupants are compared between the Cold Tube on and off groups. The histograms show the thermal perception response data from the survey participants. A vote of -3 is very cold, 0 is neutral, and +3 is Very Warm. The subplots are responses during the initial conditioning period (a), after 7 minutes of walking (b), after spending 1 minute in the Cold Tube (c), and 10 minutes in the Cold Tube (d). Responses with the Cold Tube on are solid gray bars, and responses with the Cold Tube off is the solid black line. Included are confidence intervals that the off population is different from the experimental population from a t-test, the measured mean vote, μ , the standard deviation among responses, σ , and the percentage of responses above 0 (warm votes). Within 1 minute she mean vote shifts cool, going below 0.



Fig. 4. (a) Adaptive comfort window for air speed of 0.3 m/s appended with data from the thermal comfort survey responses. (b) The mean radiant temperature plotted against air temperature for each survey response. The color of the data is assigned based on occupant satisfaction votes. Each point is placed at the coincident operative temperature. Clusters emerge with the Cold Tube on and off, with clear differences in the response profiles for nearly the same range of air temperatures.

¹⁷² Cold Tube on and off clusters.

Physiological Measurements. Skin heat flux and temperature 173 174 measurements are plotted against system measurements in figure 5b. Figure 5a shows an image of an author standing 175 in front (50 cm away) of a radiant cooling panel in the Cold 176 Tube taken using a thermal and visible light camera. The 177 color gradient shows the driving force for radiant heat transfer 178 from a person's skin to the cooling panel. As expected, the 179 net heat flux from a person's skin to the radiant cooling panel 180 scales proportionally to the supply water temperature. The 181 maximum value occurred when the water temperature was 13 182 °C, which corresponded to 156.8 $W m^{-2}$. With this 13 °C 183



Fig. 5. Heat flux measured from occupants' wrists at three water temperature ranges, showing the full temperature profile in the system from air to water and the associated heat flux.

water supply, there was not a significant decrease in the air temperature, from 31 to 30 °C. The large increase in radiant heat flux occurred due to the radiant losses to the chilled water.

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

Comparing the incremental increase in heat flux as water temperature decreases allows one to extrapolate that if the water temperature was the skin temperature, i.e. no radiant heat exchange, allows us to extrapolate that 52.5 $W m^{-2}$ were due to convection for each dataset, and the remaining $W m^{-2}$ were therefore attributed to radiation. For the cold 13 °C water case, this means that 104.3 $W m^{-2}$ were due to radiant heat transfer. This further allows us to back-calculate a T_{MRT} of 15.7 °C on the hemisphere of the body facing the panel. This is consistent with the panel temperature measurement produced with the radiometer.

More importantly, this physiological data offers an explanation for the thermal comfort survey responses. As thermal comfort requires metabolic heat to be lost, the increase in heat flux from a person to the panel as the water temperature decreases despite a nearly constant (close to skin temperature) air temperature confirms that heat is being lost primarily to the panels via radiation.

Condensation Prevention. A primary research objective was 206 to observe chilled water supply temperatures that would be 207 allowable without condensation observed on any surface of the 208 radiant cooling panel. Such an environment has never been 209 constructed before. The membrane surface temperature is 210 difficult to directly measure since sensors placed on the infrared-211 transparent material locally differed from their surroundings 212 due to radiant cooling. Instead, we slowly lowered the water 213 temperature at a rate of 4 °C per hour and watched for 214 signs of condensation. When condensation occurred, the air 215



Fig. 6. Chilling water slowly until the onset of condensation is observed allows the air temperature minus the dew point temperature to be plotted against the dew point minus water temperature to understand how cold water can be chilled for supply to the Cold Tube.

temperature and supply water temperature were recorded. A 216 plot of this data is shown in figure 6a. The data is plotted 217 as the difference in the air temperature, T_{air} , and dew point, 218 T_{dp} on the x-axis, and the y-axis is the difference in T_{dp} 219 and the water temperature, T_{water} . This representation of 220 the data is done to reparametrize the data in terms of the 221 maximal convective heating provided from the air as dictated 222 by $T_{air} - T_{dp}$ before the membrane goes below t_{dp} . This control 223 logic is elegant, as it implies that as more heat in the air is 224 available for membrane heating, more cooling can be provided 225 through cooler chilled water without energy penalties since 226 the chilled membrane is convectively isolated from the warmer 227 air. 228

229 Discussion

The Cold Tube was an exciting step forward for exploring 230 novel modes of providing thermal comfort. As previously 231 discussed, the temperature range produced in the Cold Tube 232 has never been observed in the built environment (6), however 233 the findings presented in figure 4 appear to be consistent 234 with the adaptive comfort framework (18). More specifically, 235 the environment produced in the Cold Tube is predicted to 236 be comfortable not only with a heat balance described in 237 the Methods section, but with the existing adaptive comfort 238 framework. Typically in the adaptive framework, the required 239 operative temperatures for comfort would be produced with 240 air or air and radiant systems, not a radiant system alone as 241 achieved in the Cold Tube. The Cold Tube is therefore a first 242 step in validating the adaptive comfort region with radiant 243 heat transfer only, implying that separation of comfort and 244 ventilation air is a plausible method of climate conditioning 245 for the tropics. 246

Such a requirement is particularly important when large 247 air exchange rates are required to maintain ventilation rates 248 249 in spaces such as auditoriums, laboratories, classrooms, and shared office spaces. If fresh air can be supplied at an arbi-250 trary rate with little or no energy or comfort penalty, this 251 fundamentally changes the climate conditioning paradigm. 252 Further, as preliminarily demonstrated with the data from 253 the Cold Tube, strict dehumidification is also not necessary, 254 which could reduce large dehumidification loads across humid 255 climate regions worldwide (19). Using higher temperature 256 hydronic radiant cooling has also been demonstrated to reduce 257 the energy consumption of climate conditioning, as higher 258



Fig. 7. FTIR spectra of the LDPE infrared-transparent membrane material.

temperatures of 17-20 $^{\circ}$ C can be used instead of the more traditional 4-8 $^{\circ}$ C used by conventional air systems (14).

Conclusions. For the first time, a system was designed to 261 achieve 10 K of separation between the mean radiant temper-262 ature and the air temperature, producing no condensation as 263 the supply temperatures and mean radiant temperatures were 264 well below the dewpoint, up to 20 K and 3.5 K, respectively. 265 The Cold Tube is an exciting step forward for demonstrating 266 (1) that radiation and convection can be separated for comfort 267 conditioning (2) to rely on radiation alone to produce com-268 fortable conditions based on existing metrics. The thermal 269 comfort study conducted in Singapore in January 2019 is a 270 strong preliminary investigation into the applicability of such 271 a membrane assisted radiant cooling technology applied at 272 scale to reduce comfort-related energy demand worldwide. 273

Materials and Methods

Cold Tube Design, Construction, and Evaluation. The Cold Tube was 275 constructed at the United World College, Southeast Asia (UWC-276 SEA), Dover campus, in Singapore from August to October 2018. 277 The pavilion is enclosed by ten $1.2m \ge 2.1m (4' \ge 8')$ panels; two 278 horizontal panels at the top and eight vertical panels, with north 279 and south facing entrances. The surface of the panels are cooled 280 down below the dew point by chilled water from custom variable 281 speed chillers to provide radiant cooling. It is separated from the 282 hot and humid environment to avoid condensation by infrared trans-283 parent membranes that are 82.4% transparent to thermal blackbody 284 radiation. A schematic of heat transfer about a single vertical panel 285 is shown in figure 1 and the FTIR spectra of the 50 micron thick 286 LDPE infrared-transparent material is shown in figure 7. 287

274

The supply and return temperatures of representative panels 288 were measured with high-precision thermistors (10K Precision Epoxy 289 Thermistor - 3950 NTC; +/-1%). Net radiant heat transfer between 290 occupants and surfaces within a 150° field of view was measured 291 with a pyrgeometer (Apogee, SL-510-SS; 0.12 mV per $W m^{-2}$; 1% 292 measurement repeatability) and pyranometer (Apogee SP-510; 0.057 293 mV per $W m^{-2}$; 1% measurement repeatability), which were manu-294 ally directed in the direction of heat flux sensing. Skin temperature 295 and heat flux were measured with a skin temperature and heat 296 flux sensor (gSKIN ®BodyTEMP Patch; +/- 0.3 °C). Air tempera-297 ture and globe temperature were measured inside the pavilion with 298 Pt-100 thermistors (± 0.1 ° C). The panel temperature was mea-299 sured with a non-contacting infrared temperature sensor (Melexis 300

MLX90614; +/- 0.3 °C), sealed inside the radiant panel facing the 301 chilled capillary mats. In addition, an air temperature sensor, rela-302 tive humidity sensor, and air speed sensor from the ThermCondSys 303 5500 measurement system were placed at the location of the occu-304 pant. The air temperature sensor was a Pt-100 thermistor (± 0.1 ° 305 C). The air temperature sensor was shielded from radiation with 306 a highly reflective silver cone. The air speed sensor is a spherical 307 omnidirectional air speed sensor with temperature compensation, 308 vacuum covered with an aluminum coating that increases resistance 309 to contamination and decreases the effect of thermal radiation on 310 the accuracy of the measurement $(\pm 0.02 \ m \ s^{-1})$. The relative 311 312 humidity sensor has a $\pm 2\%$ accuracy. Measurements were taken at 10 second intervals, which were further smoothed by the minute for 313 analysis in this paper. Smoothed measurements for air speed, v_{air} , 314 air temperature, t_a , and mean radiant temperature, t_r , were used 315 to compute the operative temperature, t_o , using equation 1 (20). 316

$$t_o = \frac{t_r + (t_a \times \sqrt{10v_{air}})}{1 + \sqrt{10v_{air}}}$$
[1]

317

331

339

Heat flux measurements from the gSKIN sensor were net heat 318 flux, meaning both convection and radiation fluxes were measured 319 simultaneously. Heat flux measurements were taken with three 320 supply water conditions, warm at 26 °C, 'LowEx' (short for low 321 exergy (13)) at 17 °C, and cold at 13 °C. If the air temperature 322 is consistent during these measurements, these three data points 323 allow for the regression of heat flux to be made back for water 324 temperature. This regression can be used to find the condition of no 325 radiant heat flux when $T_{MRT} = T_{skin}$. This extrapolated heat flux 326 with no radiant heat flux would represent the convective heat flux, 327 Q_{conv} that occurs at T_{air} . This was treated as a constant value, 328 and allowed correction of the net heat flux, Q_{net} for the radiant 329 heat flux, Q_{rad} as in equation 2. 330

$$Q_{rad} = Q_{net} - Q_{conv}$$
^[2]

³³² Further, once a value of Q_{rad} was calculated, knowing the skin ³³³ temperature, T_{skin} [K], the mean radiant temperature in the hemi-³³⁴ sphere of the gSKIN sensor's exposure, $T_{MRT,hemi}$ [°C], could be ³³⁵ back-calculated as shown in equation 3. In this equation ε is set ³³⁶ to 0.95 and σ is the Stephan-Boltzmann constant, $5.67 * 10^{-8}$ [W ³³⁷ $m^{-2} K^{-4}$]. This value was compared to the measured values with ³³⁸ the pyrgeometer and pyranometer.

$$T_{MRT,hemi} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{Q_{rad}}{\varepsilon\sigma} - T_{skin}^4}$$
[3]

Mean Radiant Temperature Simulation. Weather data collected at the 340 site was used to determine the required setpoint for comfort in the 341 constructed pavilion using a heat balance approach to expanding the 342 psychrometric comfort zone (21, 22). The measured air temperature, 343 relative humidity, and average air speed of 0.3 $m \ s^{-1}$ were used 344 in conjunction with the metabolic rate of a resting person, 1.2 345 met or 69.8 $W m^{-2}$ and a skin wettedness of 0.06 for dry skin. 346 The color gradient in figure 8 covered by the air temperature and 347 humidity data points shows the range of required mean radiant 348 temperature that the system must produce, in order for occupants 349 to feel comfortable, roughly between 23 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and 25 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ depending 350 on the precise environmental condition. The white line traversing 351 the chart through the environmental data points shows the set of 352 points where the required mean radiant temperature for comfort is 353 the dew point temperature. Points above this line require a mean 354 radiant temperature lower than the dew point for occupants to 355 feel comfortable. This analysis demonstrates the need for a panel 356 357 construction separating the surface from the humid air to prevent condensation. 358

To achieve these required mean radiant temperatures, a geomet-359 ric simulation was conducted to spatially map the mean radiant 360 temperature in the Cold Tube. To do this, first a grid of 750 points 361 is created on a plane at a fixed height of 1m above the floor. At each 362 location on this grid 1,280 geodesically distributed rays emanate. 363 They intersect the surfaces around them, with assigned known 364 surface temperatures, and the the temperature value at each inter-365 section is averaged and recorded as the mean radiant temperature 366 at each point on the grid. A color gradient is then created based 367 on the MRT values. Further discussion of this simulation method 368



Fig. 8. Expanded Psychrometrics heat balance to determine the mean radiant temperature required to produce comfort.



Fig. 9. A simulated map of the mean radiant temperature distribution at a 1m height in the Cold Tube with a supply water temperature of 18 °C.

from our previous work can be found in (23). The result from this simulation is shown in figure 9. This simulation was conducted with a supply water temperature of 18° C water to the panels, with every other temperature set to 31° C. The simulation indicates that the required range of mean radiant temperatures required for comfort shown in figure 8 can be met in the Cold Tube. The mapping of MRT within the Cold Tube space allows for an understanding of the effect of view factor on the perceived temperature as an occupant walks through the space. 377

Thermal Comfort Study. The primary goal of the thermal comfort378study was to assess whether individuals felt cooler in the Cold379Tube than just in shade, and whether the cooling provided by the380infrared transparent panels maintained to avoid condensation and381air conditioning was sufficient to cool occupants at short (1 minute)382and longer (10 minute) time intervals. These time intervals are383indicative of transient comfort or thermal delight, and steady state384thermal comfort.385

Thermal delight refers to the instantaneous perception of comfort 386 when one has quickly transitioned from an uncomfortable environ-387 ment to an environment more amenable to providing thermal com-388 fort. An example is the experience of entering an air-conditioning 389 lobby after walking in a hot outdoor environment for a prolonged 390 duration. Those individuals who feel pleasure when a rush of cold air 391 blows over their hot and sweaty bodies are said to be experiencing 392 "thermal delight". 393

Thermal comfort is the condition of the mind that expresses 394 satisfaction with one's thermal environment. It is assessed empiri-395 cally by subjective evaluation, often through the administration of 396 surveys. International standardization organizations, such as the 397 American Society for Heating, Refrigeration, and Air-Conditioning 398 Engineers (ASHRAE), nevertheless publish mathematical models 399 for estimating perceived thermal comfort of typical humans. Such 400 models are based on the estimated characteristics of clothing levels, 401 metabolic rates of occupants in an environment, and the estimated 402 air temperature, mean radiant temperature, humidity, and wind 403 speed of the environment. Measured data on these parameters are
often collected during survey-based studies of thermal comfort in
order to compare model predictions of thermal comfort to actual
responses.

For the study, participants were escorted by a study administrator to the experimental site on the United World College Southeast
Asia (UWCSEA) Dover campus. Once participants arrived at the
first location, the study commenced using the following procedure.
Permission for the study was obtained from the Institutional Review
Board at the University of California, Berkeley who approved the
study (CPHS Protocol No. 20180-12-11636).

 Each participant reached a state of thermal neutrality by sitting 10-15 minutes in a shaded area exposed to elevated air movement. Each participant was given control over the use of a fan to make sure that thermal neutrality would be reached in sufficient time.

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

- After 10 minutes, the participants would evaluate their thermal comfort, and decide if an additional 5 minutes beneath the fan would be required. After reaching the thermal neutrality state, 15 minutes maximum under the fan, the participant would be given a thermal comfort survey for the first of four times. The entire thermal comfort survey can be found in Supplemental Materials.
- During this time, participants were asked to complete a survey asking about their air conditioning and fan preferences at home. This is an important step to understanding how closely our sample resembles the general population. We asked participants what type of cooling they use at home and how often they use it.
 - The participants clothing level was then be recorded by the survey administrator.
- The participant was asked to spend 7 minutes walking through the shaded, covered and uncovered (sun-exposed) outdoor environment on a predetermined path. After the walk participants were surveyed about the thermal comfort right at that moment. This is the second time they are filling out the thermal comfort survey.
- 3. Next, the participant was asked to step into the pavilion.
 Participants were subsequently surveyed after 1 min and after
 10 minutes sitting in the pavilion, the third and fourth time
 they will complete the survey, respectively.
 - The objective of the third survey (1 min after entering the pavilion) is to evaluate whether there is the effect of thermal delight or significant feeling of heat relief due to rapid heat release.
 - The objective of the fourth survey (10 minutes after entering the pavilion) is to understand how participants respond to the pavilion's environment with respect to overall thermal comfort.
- 4. Finally, participants were asked to qualitatively compare the
 pavilion environment to the first environment beneath the
 fan. Participants were also asked to provide feedback about
 what types of environments they would most like to see this
 technology installed around Singapore.

This experimental sequence was used to facilitate two different experiments using the Cold Tube pavilion. These are:

- 1. Evaluation of thermal comfort of people in the active pavilion 460 - This study served as the benchmark information for the 461 462 pavilion. The pavilion was supplied with 10-15 °C water to 463 the radiant cooling panels, which created a perceived mean radiant temperature between 22-24 °C. The air temperature 464 would be outdoor conditions of 28-32 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and 60-80 % RH. 39 465 participants were recruited for this study, yet only 37 survey 466 467 responses were analyzed due to ambient weather condition changes. 468
- 469
 2. Control for comfort caused by the shade provided by the pavilion The pavilion will provide cooling to individuals
 471 by providing shade only, with the active cooling turned off. During the experiment, chilled water will not be supplied to

the pavilion, therefore this study is important to understand the contribution of shading to cooling and to demonstrate the additional benefit to the cooling that the active cooling of the water supplies to occupants. 18 participants were recruited for this study, yet only 16 survey responses were analyzed due to ambient weather condition changes and data loss. 478

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This project was funded by the National Research Foundation IntraCREATE Grant No. NRF2016-ITC001-005 [Pantelic and Rysanek]. The authors would also like to personally thank Simon Thomas and the United World College Southeast Asia (UWCSEA) Facilities staff for all of their help facilitating the construction of the Cold Tube demonstrator.

 DA McIntyre, I Griffiths, Radiant temperature and thermal comfort. Vol. CIB Commission W45, (1972).

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

- C Yagoglou, Report of committee to consider the report of the new york state commission on ventilation. Am. Soc. Heat. Vent. Eng. 30, 254–256 (1924).
- T Bedford, C Warner, The globe thermometer in studies of heating and ventilation. The J. Hyg. 34, 458–473 (1934).
- A Standard 55, Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy. Am. Soc. Heating, Refrig. Air conditioning Eng. (2017).
- 5. PO Fanger, Thermal comfort. Analysis and applications in environmental engineering. (Copenhagen: Danish Technical Press.), (1970).
- VF Licina, et al., Development of the ashrae global thermal comfort database ii. Build. Environ. 142, 502 – 512 (2018).
- 7. R Morse, Radiant cooling. Archit. Sci. Rev. 6, 50-53 (1963).
- T Cheung, S Schiavon, T Parkinson, P Li, G Brager, Analysis of the accuracy on pmv-ppd model using the ashrae global thermal comfort database ii. *Build. Environ.* **153**, 205–217 (2019).
- E Teitelbaum, et al., Revisiting radiant cooling: condensation-free heat rejection using infrared-transparent enclosures of chilled panels. Archit. Sci. Rev., 1–8 (2019).
- M Isaac, DP van Vuuren, Modeling global residential sector energy demand for heating and air conditioning in the context of climate change. *Energy Policy* 37, 507–521 (2009).
- 11. El Agency, Residential energy consumption survey, 2015 recs survey data. Tables HC6 8 (2015).
- LG Harriman III, D Plager, D Kosar, Dehumidification and cooling loads from ventilation air. ASHRAE journal 39, 6 (1997).
- F Meggers, V Ritter, P Goffin, M Baetschmann, H Leibundgut, Low exergy building systems implementation. *Energy* 41, 48–55 (2012).
- A Schlueter, A Rysanek, F Meggers, 3for2: Realizing spatial, material, and energy savings through integrated design. CTBUH J., 40–45 (2016).
- AP Raman, MA Anoma, L Zhu, E Rephaeli, S Fan, Passive radiative cooling below ambient air temperature under direct sunlight. *Nature* 515, 540 (2014).
- Y Zhai, et al., Scalable-manufactured randomized glass-polymer hybrid metamaterial for daytime radiative cooling. *Science* 355, 1062–1066 (2017).
- 17. T Li, et al., A radiative cooling structural material. *Science* **364**, 760–763 (2019).
- F Nicol, Temperature and adaptive comfort in heated, cooled and free-running dwellings. Build. Res. & Inf. 45, 730–744 (2017).
- B Wellig, B Kegel, M Meier, E Basler, A Partner, "verdopplung der jahresarbeitszahl von klimakälteanlagen durch ausnützung eines kleinen temperaturhubs". Ernst Basler+ Partner, Zürich, iA Forschungsprogramm UAW, Bundesamt für Energie, Bern (2006).
- ISO, 7726, ergonomics of the thermal environment, instruments for measuring physical quantities. Geneva: Int. Standard Organ. (1998).
- 21. E Teitelbaum, F Meggers, Expanded psychrometric landscapes for radiant cooling and natural ventilation system design and optimization. *Energy Procedia* **122**, 1129–1134 (2017). 526
- E Teitelbaum, P Jayathissa, C Miller, F Meggers, Design with comfort: Expanding the psychrometric chart with radiation and convection dimensions. *Energy Build*. 209, 109591 (2020).
- TKFM Dorit Aviv, Eric Teitelbaum, Generation and simulation of indoor thermal gradients. *Proc. IBPSA Build. Simul. Conf. In Press.* Rome (2019).